

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/013,980	BARDY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kennedy Schaetzle	3766	

All Participants:
Status of Application: allowed

 (1) Kennedy Schaetzle.

(3) _____.

 (2) Scot Wickhem.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 January 2006
Time: 3 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

75-80 and 92

Prior art documents discussed:

Doan et al. ('328)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Proposed amendment to cancel claims 75-80 in order to obviate a rejection based on the Doan et al. reference agreed to. See Examiner's Amendment. Claim 78, while containing allowable subject matter, was canceled since it would be a substantial duplicate of claim 92 if rewritten in independent form. Applicant stated they would file a continuation application to prosecute the subject matter at a later time..